

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,791	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paresh Patel	2829	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Paresh Patel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Karen Dana Oster.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2004
Time: 2:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the interview Examiner suggested amendment to claim 17(by adding subject matter of claim 18), in order to be consistent with language of other claims for possible allowance with terminal disclaimer if filed by applicants. Examiner Patel agreed to look at other suggestion made by Karen Oster, which was filed as preliminary amendment on 05/11/2004. .